IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

THURSDAY, THE 7TH JUNE 2007 / 17TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 17404 of 2007(V)

PETITIONER:

C.M.JIYAS, S/O.MOHAMMED C.P., AGED 28 YEARS, RESIDING AT VII/576, KAPPALANDIMUKKU, KOCHI - 2.

BY ADV. SRI.C.K.PAVITHRAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, FOOD & CIVIL SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE COMMISSIONER OF CIVIL SUPPLIES, OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CIVIL SUPPLIES, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ERNAKULAM.

4. T.E.ANEESH, KULANGARA HOUSE, XIII/712, KOCHANGADI, KOCHI - 2.

5. K.P.VINEESH, KOMARASSERY HOUSE, 21/1334, PALLURUTHY, KOCHI - 6.

R1 TO R3 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.I.V.PRAMOD.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

W.P(C) NO. 17404 OF 2007 - V

Dated this the 7th day of June, 2007

J U D G M E N T

By Ext.P4 judgment dated 24th May, 2007, I directed the first respondent to dispose of the revision petition filed by the fourth respondent. The petitioner in this writ petition is the fifth respondent in Ext.P4. He submits that he has also filed a revision against the order impugned in the revision which was directed to be disposed of by Ext.P4 judgment. He now seeks a direction to the Government to consider his revision also along with the revision filed by the fourth respondent as directed in Ext.P4.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as also the learned Government Pleader. Since the revision covered by Ext.P4 and the revision stated to have been filed by the petitioner are against the same order, it is only appropriate that both the revision petitions are heard together.

3. In the above circumstances, I direct that the revision petition stated to have been filed by the petitioner also shall be considered along with the revision petition which is the subject

matter of Ext.P4 judgment provided the revision petition filed by the petitioner has been received by the first respondent and the same is in order in all respects and also provided that the first respondent has not already passed orders pursuant to Ext.P4 judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of with the above direction.

S.SIRI JAGAN,
Judge

Mbs/